UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,211	10/21/2003	James Spitler	03-001-US1 (IST 3591000)	2328
57004 CARR LLP (IS	7590 05/22/2008 T)	3	EXAMINER	
670 FOUNDERS SQUARE 900 JACKSON STREET			HOFFMAN, MARY C	
DALLAS, TX 7	·=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/690,211 SPITLER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MARY HOFFMAN 3733 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MARY HOFFMAN. (3)JOHM LIPCHITZ. (2) MARCUS BENAVIDES. (4)_____. Date of Interview: 13 May 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 25. Identification of prior art discussed: BOEHM 2004/0039384. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant faxed proposed amendments. The examiner made suggestions to aid in overcoming the BOEHM reference (particularly adding structural language as opposed to functional language) and to clarify the claim language. The examiner reminded Applicant not to add any new matter, and that claim limitations must be supported by the originally filed disclosure. The examiner agreed to call Applicant upon performing an updated search. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

/Mary C. Hoffman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733